



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/758,322	01/15/2004	Georg Mogk	100717-607/ Bayer 10, 268	5385
27384	7590	05/08/2008	EXAMINER	
NORRIS, MC LAUGHLIN & MARCUS, PA			BROWN JR, NATHAN H	
875 THIRD AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
18TH FLOOR			2129	
NEW YORK, NY 10022				
MAIL DATE DELIVERY MODE				
05/08/2008 PAPER				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/758,322	MOGK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	NATHAN H. BROWN JR	2129

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) NATHAN H. BROWN JR.

(3) Christa Hildebrand.

(2) Joseph Hirz.

(4) Cheryl Cohen.

Date of Interview: 23 April 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,3,5, and 8-12.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner looked at the currently amended claims and needed input on questions about the claimed use of a convex envelop in conjunction with a neural network. Applicants provided input on the questions which will allow Examiner to further amend the claims for favorable consideration. A further telephonic interview to present the Examiner's claim amendments was agreed upon.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Nathan H. Brown, Jr./
Examiner, Art Unit 2121

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required